

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,065	10/04/2000	Peter Coad	30013630-0003	8737
	590 02/10/2004		EXAMINER	
JINAN GLASGOW P O BOX 28539			CHUONG, TRUC T	
RALEIGH, NC 276118539			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		-	2174	14
•			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004	' 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/680,065	COAD ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Truc T Chuong	2174	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a timely filed amendment whit all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	_	. Carlost attaches	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state form: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 36(a) and the appropriate ex fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-40</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	•		
10. Other:	noo mutto Minaka Yaamaq		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicant added new limitations "showing visual differences of the source code through time", "the source code is not an alpha-numeric display", and "or not merely text-based display" in claims 1, 5, 11, 13, 17, 22, 29, 32, and 40; therefore, the new limitations added would require further search and consideration.